UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

PRTITIONER CIA. 04-11876 PEL

JOHN ASHEROSET ATTORNEY GRNESOM FOR UNITED STATES, BET BL

PRETITIONERS SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE STO

RESPONSE TO THE MOTION TO DISMISS, DATED 9/20/04:

ک،

.2

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OR INFORMATION TO SUPPORT
THE GOVERNMENT CLAIM MAR. BETWICOURT IS EITHER
VIOLENT OR DANGEROUGE TO SOCIETY. THERE HAS
NEVER BEEN ANY OPPORTUNITY FOR MAR BETANCOURT
HAS MADE NO EFFORT WHATSOFWEL TO DEPORT
LEGAL COUNSEL AND PROPER REPRESENTATION AT THE
BETWICOURT HAS NOW BEEN INCARCEPATED FOR
OVERL EIGHTERN MONTHS BY THE INS AND THE
UNITED STATES CANCITATION

A Various

LACK OF EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE INS CLAIM OF IN ELIGIBLE PAROLE

IN THE GOVERNMENTS MOTTON TO DISMISS IT CLAIMS TO CITIZ FACTS REGARDING MR BETANCOURTS CRIMINAL HISTORY. HOWEVER, THE GOVERNMENT HAS PURPOSELY DISTORTED THE FACTS AS FOLLOWS.

WHILE IT IS TRUE IN THE 1980'S NEARLY TWENTY
YEARS AGO, MR BETANCOURT DID HAVE THREE
CONVICTIONS FOR WHICH HE SERVED A TOTAL OF LESS
THAY 4 YEARS. SINCE THAT TIME, MR BETANCOURT
HAS NOT HAD ANY ARRESTS, CONVICTIONS OR
INCIDENTS OF VIOLENCE OR DEMONSTRATED HE
POSES ANY RISKS TO SOCIETY.

IN, FACT, SINCE 1988 MR BRITANCOURT HAS NOT SERVICES ANY JAIL HIME WHATSORVER. HIS ONLY CONVICTIONS SINCE 1988 HAVE BEEN DRUG RELATED AND IN BUTH INSTANCES THE COURT OPORTRA PUNISHMENT WAS RITHER COMMUNITY SERVICE, PROBATION OR A SUSPENDED SENTENCE.

THYS, A COURT OF LAW HAS REFRANCES FROM
ANY SENTENCE OF INCARCERPATION BECAUSE THE
COURT DETERMINARY MR BETTANCOURT IS NOT
A DANGER TO SOCIETY.

RIGHTS OF ENDOMISSABLE (EXCLUDABLE) ALIBNS

IT WOULD AFRANCE THE GOVERNMENTS POSITION IS THAT

FXCLUDARGE ALIRNA ARR NOT ENTITLES TO THE

BASIC RIGHTS THE FOUNDERS OF THIS COUNTRY PROMISED.

FUR INSTANCE,

MR BRIANCOURT HAS NO REMIRDY WHICH MIGHT CURRE
THR INS IMPOSED DEFINITION OF HIS ALIREN STATUS.
THE BOVERNMENT BORS FURTHER BY CLAIMING MR
BETANCOURT DID NOT CONTEST HIS STATUS AS AN
RXCLUDABLE ALIRA! IS MR BETANCOURT SOMEHOW
RESPONSIBLE FOR A LACK OF ACTION THE GOVERNMENT.
WILL NOT ALLOW?

MR BETONCOURT DUES HAVE A RIGHT TO AN ANNUAL REVIEW OF HIS DETENTION STATUS BUT THIS HAS ALSO BEEN 16 NORGO GIVEN THE FACT HE HAS BEEN DETAINED BY THE INS FOR OVER TWO YEARS, YET ONLY REVIEWED ONCE.

BOYERN MENT'S MOTTON THE INS HAS NOT

RIGHTS OF ENDOMISSABLE (EXCLUDABLE) ALIENS

IT WOULD APPEAR THE GOVERNMENTS POSITION IS THAT
RXCLUDABLE ALIENS ARE NOT ENTITIES to THE
BASIC RIGHTS THE FOUNDERS OF THIS COUNTRY PROMISE.

FUR INSTANCE,

MR BRITANCOURT HAS NO REMIREY WHICH MIGHT CURR THR INS EMPOSRS DEFINITION OF HIS ALIREN STATUS. THRE BOVERNMENT GORS FURTHER BY CLAIMING MR BETANCOURT DID "NOT CONTEST HIS STATUS AS AN RXCLUDABLE ALIRA!" IS MR BETANCOURT SOMEHOW RRSPONSIBLE FOR A LACK OF ACTION THE GOVERNMENT

MR BRETONCOURT DURS HAVE A RIGHT TO AM ANNUAL REVIEW OF HIS DETENTION STATUS BUT THIS HAS ALSO BEEN 16NORDS GIVEN THE FACT HE HAS BREN DETAINED BY THE INS FOR OVER TWO YEARS, YET ONLY REVIEWED ONCE.

BASED UPON FUOTNOTE SIX ON PAGE FOUR OF THE BOVERNMENT'S MOTTON THE INS HAS NOT PRIMARRY FILES FOLLOWED ITS OWN CRITTERIA FUR DRITENTION AND HENCE FURTHER VIOLATES WHAT MARBINAL MIGHTS CONGRESS BRSTOWED WOON EXCLUDED ALIENS.

IM CONCLUSION,

T BRUTENE THE FACTS ARE CONTRARY TO
THE GOVERNMENT POSITION IN SO FAR AS I HAVE
DEMONSTRATED I AM MUT A RISK TO SOCIETY,
THE IVDICIAL SYSTEMB OVER A PEMOD OF OVER
FOURTERN YRARS DOES NOT BRUEVE I AM A
RISK TO SOCIETY, I HAVE NOT HAD PROPER LEGAL
REPRESENTATION IN INS PROCERDINGS AND I HAVE
BEEN HIDD AGAINST MY WILL FOR OVER TWO YRARS.

Such Wrondful, UNITUSTIFIED INCARCERRATION IS THE BASIS OF WHICH THE HARBERS CORPUS WRIT WAS INTRUDED AND I PLRAD WITH THIS COURT TO DO THE RIGHT THING AND BRANT MY REQUEST TO RETURN TO MY WIFE, TWO YEAR OLD DANGHTER AND MY DESIRE TO BE A POSITIVE CONTRIBUTOR TO SOCIETY.

RASPRUTRUM YOURS, Land VIA Promisent

PRO SE P.C.C.F

26 LONG POND RD, PLYMOVIH MA